Single Bench

(3)

(1)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 4969/2004

PETITIONERS :

1. Smt. Neelam Shashikanta Lakra W/o Jemes Lakra, Aged about 35 years, R/o. Village-Ludeg, Tahsil-Kunkuri, District- Jashpur (C.G.).

2. Smt. Kiran Lakra , W/o Ajun Lakra, Aged about 34 years, R/o Village-Kersai, Tehsil-Kunkuri, District-Jashpur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 4087/CG
Presented by Shri C.P. Jadam
dated 25.10.04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through The Collector, Ambikapur, District- Sarguja (Chhattisgarh).

2. The Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat, Surajpur, District-Surguja (C.G.).

3. The Director, Nagriya Niyojan & Development Department, Raipur (C.G.).

4. The Director of Panchayat, Raipur (C.G.).

5. Santlal Singh, Shiksha Karmi, Grade-III, Govt. Primary School Manpur, District-Surguja (C.G.).

6. Shankh Lal Tekam, Shiksha Karmi, Grade-III, Govt. Primary School, Badakapara, Surajpur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.).

7. Smt. Shashikala Dubey, Shiksha Karmi Grade-III, Govt. Primary School, Nayathan Road, Surajpur, District- Surguja (C.G.).

8. Vinod Kumar Sonwani, Shiksha Karmi, Grade-III, Mahgawa, Boys Middle School, Mahgawa, Tehsil-Surajpur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.).

25.10.04

9. Kamlesh Pandey, Shiksha Karmi Grade-III, Middle School, Surajpur, District- Surguja (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(68)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 4969 OF 2004

Petitioners : Smt. Neelam Shashikanta Lakra and another.

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others.

Shri P.K. Patel, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri G.D. Vaswani, Government Advocate for the State.

Shri A.K. Shukla, counsel for the respondents No. 6, 7, 8 and 9.

ORAL ORDER

(27th July, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners on instruction, submits that the relief claimed for in this petition, does not survive in view of the order dated 25.4.2005 passed by the Director, Urban Administration and Development, Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection.

2. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed, as having become infructuous. However, the liberty is reserved to the petitioners, to question any other order which has been passed, raising new cause of action, if so advised.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge